THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE May 15, 2019

Staff Report

REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Ruben Barcelo

Applicant: City of Los Angeles

Allocation Amount Requested:

Tax-exempt: \$19,960,000

Project Information:

Name: Summit View Apartments

Project Address: 11681 Foothill Blvd.

Project City, County, Zip Code: Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 91342

Project Sponsor Information:

Name: 11681 Foothill, LP (11681 Foothill GP, LLC)

Principals: Stephanie Klasky-Gamer, Andy Schneiderman, Rick A.

Schroeder and Alex Russell

Property Management Company: The John Stewart Company

Project Financing Information:

Bond Counsel: Kutak Rock LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: MUFG Union Bank, N.A.

Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable

Public Sale: Not Applicable **Underwriter:** Not Applicable

Underwriter: Not Applicable

Credit Enhancement Provider: Not Applicable

Rating: Not Applicable

TEFRA Noticing Date: March 6, 2019 **TEFRA Adoption Date:** April 9, 2019

Description of Proposed Project:

State Ceiling Pool: General **Total Number of Units:** 49

Manager's Units: 1 Unrestricted

Type: New Construction

Population Served: Family/Special Needs

Summit View Apartments is a new construction project located in Los Angeles on a 3-acre site. The project consists of 48 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager unit distributed as 37 studios, 11 one-bedroom units and 1 two-bedroom unit. The project design calls for four buildings of three to four stories each. Common amenities include a community room, a rooftop communal space, a computer lab, laundry facilities and office space for management and tenant services. Each unit will feature a kitchen equipped with a refrigerator, stove and countertop/work space. Seventeen parking spaces will be provided. The project will be pursuing LEED certification. Construction is expected to begin in November 2019 and be completed in April 2021.

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

100% (48 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

0% (0 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: Studio, 1 & 2 bedrooms

The proposed project will be receiving service amenity points for providing instructor-led classes and for providing the services of a bona fide service coordinator.

Term of Restrictions:

Income and Rent Restrictions: 55 years

Details of Project Financing:

Estimated Total Development Cost: \$ 32,800,201

Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: \$ 298,423 (\$14,622,717 /49 units including mgr. unit)

Estimated per Unit Cost: \$ 669,392 (\$32,800,201 /49 units including mgr. unit)

Allocation per Unit: \$ 407,347 (\$19,960,000 /49 units including mgr. unit)

Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit: \$ 415,833 (\$19,960,000 /48 restricted units)

Sources of Funds:		Construction		Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$	19,960,000	\$	2,192,000	
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$	1,269,752	\$	12,697,518	
Deferred Developer Fee/ GP Capital Contribution	\$	1,521,883	\$	1,521,883	
LACDC Deferred Interest	\$	178,800	\$	178,800	
Deferred Costs	\$	1,657,575	\$	0	
HCID CDBG	\$	650,000	\$	650,000	
HCIDLA PSH Proposition HHH Loan	\$	2,562,191	\$	10,560,000	
LACDC Loan	\$	5,000,000	\$	5,000,000	
Total Sources	\$	32,800,201	\$	32,800,201	

Uses of Funds:

\$ 2,397,155
\$ 16,481,320
\$ 1,058,017
\$ 1,051,410
\$ 200,000
\$ 2,433,833
\$ 78,204
\$ 305,872
\$ 413,638
\$ 10,500
\$ 1,954,149
\$ 240,000
\$ 2,130,152
\$ 4,045,951
\$ 32,800,201
\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Analyst Comments:

This project exceeds CDLAC's cost per unit threshold. Factors that contributed to the high cost include the following: the site's hillside location requires extensive site work, including grading, excavation and construction of retaining walls; project plans include extensive and costly offsite work, including installation of 11 street lights, sidewalk improvements, a sewer line extension, grading and construction of retaining walls; the high cost was also driven by unprecedented increases in labor costs of about 20% over the past two years, exacerbated by imposition of requirements to pay prevailing wages to comply with federal and state mandates; the cost per unit also reflects a \$4 million developer fee that is allowed per TCAC regs, though the partnership is actually receiving only \$2.5 million of this total from capital resources.

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points:

82.5 out of 140 [See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$19,960,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

ATTACHMENT A

EVALUATION SCORING:

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Preservation Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	35
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project]	[10]	[10]	10
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	5	5	0
Site Amenities	10	10	7.5
Service Amenities	10	10	10
New Construction or Substantial Renovation	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	0
Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Negative Points (No Maximum)	-10	-10	0
Total Points	140	120	82.5